

1. INTUITION

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose all the items in the principle. Suppose given $\sigma, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$M(\varphi) \geq \sigma d_1(\varphi, 0) - C$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_0^1 := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1 \mid \sup \varphi = 0\}$. Then, for any $\sigma' < \sigma$, there exist $C' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$M_j(\varphi) \geq \sigma' d_j(\varphi, 0) - C'$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{j,0}^1 := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_j^1 \mid \sup \varphi = 0\}$ and any $j \geq j_0$. Moreover, we assume the following items

- (1) *convexity of M_j*
- (2) *lower semi-continuity*
- (3) *entropy growth gives two estimates*
 - $M_j + C(d(0, \varphi) + 1) \geq \delta H_j$ for some $\delta > 0$
 - Since H_j is uniformly bounded below, then $M_j \geq -C(d(0, \varphi) + 1)$ for C large enough.
- (4) *normalization*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction, there exist σ' such that for any C and any j_0 there is a $j \geq j_0$ such that $M_j(\varphi_j) \leq \sigma' d(0, \varphi_j) - C$. In particular, we assume

- (1) $M_j(\varphi_j) \leq \sigma' T_j - C_j$, where $C_j \rightarrow +\infty$ and $T_j := d(0, \varphi_j)$
- (2) Let $T_j \geq t > 0$, and $\varphi_{j,t}$ is the unit speed geodesic connecting 0 and φ_j . By convexity of M_j along geodesics,

$$M_j(\varphi_{j,t}) \leq \frac{t}{T_j} M_j(\varphi_j) < t\sigma', \quad (1.1)$$

- (3) the entropy growth condition implies that $\sup_j H_j(\varphi_{j,t}) < (\sigma't + C'(t+1))/\delta$ and $d_{1,j}(\varphi_{j,t}, 0) = t$ is bounded,
- (4) Passing to subsequence, $\varphi_{j,t}$ converges strongly to $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{E}_0^1$
- (5) lower semicontinuity implies

$$t\sigma' \geq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} M_j(\varphi_{j,t}) \geq M(\varphi_t) \geq \sigma d_{1,G}(\varphi_t, 0) - C = t\sigma - C$$

- (6) it only remains to choose $t > C/(\sigma - \sigma')$. This is a consequence of $M_j \geq -Cd(0, \varphi)$. □

2. SETUP

functionals

$$M_j: \mathcal{E}_j^{1,T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad M: \pi^* \mathcal{E}_X^{1,T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

respectively defined by

$$M_j(\varphi) := M_j^{\text{rel}}(\varphi) = H_{j,v}(\varphi) + R_{j,v}(\varphi) + E_{j,vw\ell_j}(\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_j^{1,T},$$

and

$$M(\pi^* \psi) := M_X^{\text{rel}}(\psi) = H_{X,v}(\psi) + R_{X,v}(\psi) + E_{vw\ell_X}(\psi), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{E}_X^{1,T}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_j^{1,T} := \{\varphi \in \text{PSH}^T(\omega_j) \mid d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) < +\infty\}$$

3. ENTROPY GROWTH

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\delta, C > 0$ such that*

$$M_j^{\text{rel}}(\varphi) \geq \delta H_j(\varphi) - C(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1)$$

for all j large enough and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_j^{1,T}$.

Lemma 3.2.

$$H_{j,v}(\varphi) + R_{j,v}(\varphi) + E_j(\varphi) \geq \delta H_j(\varphi) - C(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1)$$

Lemma 3.3.

$$|E_v(\varphi) - E_v(\psi)| \leq A(v) d_1(\varphi, \psi) \quad (3.1)$$

(1)

$$|E_{j,vw\xi_j^{\text{ext}}}(\varphi)| \leq C d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0).$$

(2) assume $\nu_Y = \widehat{\nu}_Y$ and lemma 4.22 yields

$$R_{j,v} \geq -C(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1). \quad (3.2)$$

(3) it is enough to show the $(1 - \delta) H_{j,v}(\varphi)$ is bounded below.

(4) Two way to show:

$$\text{Ent}(\mu|\nu) = \sup_{g \in C^0(X)} \left\{ \int g d\mu - \mu(X) \log \int e^g d\nu \right\} + \mu(X) \log \mu(X), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{Ent}(\mu|\nu) \geq \mu(X) \log \frac{\mu(X)}{\nu(X)}. \quad (3.4)$$

(5) Pick a quasi-psh function f on Y such that $\widehat{\nu}_Y = e^{-2f} \nu_Y$ has finite total mass and $\text{Ric}(\widehat{\nu}_Y) = \text{Ric}(\nu_Y) + dd^c f$ is π -semipositive.

(6)

$$\widehat{R}_{j,v}(\varphi) := -E_{j,v}^{\text{Ric}^T(\widehat{\nu}_Y)}(\varphi), \quad \widehat{R}_{Y,v}(\pi^* \psi) := -E_{Y,v}^{\text{Ric}^T(\widehat{\nu}_Y)}(\pi^* \psi)$$

(7)

$$\widehat{R}_{j,v}(\varphi) = R_{j,v}(\varphi) - \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi) + c_j, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_j^{1,T}, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$c_j := \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(0) \rightarrow \int_Y f \text{MA}_{Y,v}(0) = 0,$$

(8) $\widehat{R}_{j,v}(\varphi) \geq -C(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_j^{1,T}$, where $C > 0$ denotes a uniform constant that is allowed to vary from line to line. Combined with (3.5) this yields

$$R_{j,v}(\varphi) \geq \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi) - C_j(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1). \quad (3.6)$$

(9)

$$\widehat{H}_{j,v}(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2} \text{Ent}(\text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi)|\widehat{\nu}_Y), \quad H_{j,v}(\varphi) = \widehat{H}_{j,v}(\varphi) - \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi)$$

(10)

$$\frac{1}{p} H_{j,v}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{p} \text{Ent}(\text{MA}(\varphi)|e^{-f}\nu_Y) = \frac{1}{p} \text{Ent}\left(\text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi)|e^{-pf}\nu_Y\right) - \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi) \geq -C - \int_Y f \text{MA}_{j,v}(\varphi)$$

(3.7)

(11)

$$\begin{aligned} M_j^{\text{rel}}(\varphi) &= H_{j,v}(\varphi) + R_{j,v}(\varphi) + E_{j,vw\xi_j^{\text{ext}}}(\varphi) \\ &\geq (1 - p^{-1}) H_{j,v}(\varphi) - C(d_{1,j}(\varphi, 0) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

4. LOWER SEMICONTINUITY PROPERTY $\liminf_j M_j(\varphi_j) \geq M(\pi^*\psi)$,

Assume strong convergence

Lemma 4.1.

$$\liminf_j \widehat{H}_{j,v}(\varphi_j) \geq \widehat{H}_{Y,v}(\pi^*\psi),$$

$$\text{Ent}(\mu|\nu) = \sup_{g \in C^0(X)} \left\{ \int g d\mu - \mu(X) \log \int e^g d\nu \right\} + \mu(X) \log \mu(X), \quad (4.1)$$

- (1) $\left\{ \int g d\mu - \mu(X) \log \int e^g d\nu \right\}$ and $\mu(X) \log \mu(X)$ are continuous according to μ
- (2) is convex and lsc on the space \mathcal{M} of positive Radon measures (equipped with the weak topology)
- (3)

$$\text{Ent}(\cdot|\nu): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

Lemma 4.2. *while Lemma 4.22 implies*

$$\liminf_j \widehat{R}_{j,v}(\varphi_j) \geq \widehat{R}_{Y,v}(\pi^*\psi).$$

On the other hand, since $\ell_j \rightarrow \ell_X$ smoothly, uniform Lipschitz estimate $1 \Rightarrow$ by Lemma 2.13 strong convergence

$$\lim_j E_{j,vw\ell_j}(\varphi_j) = E_{X,vw\ell_X}(\psi).$$